## 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 6 **FUTURES** TRADING **COMMODITY** 7 Case No. 2:22-cv-01258-GMN-NJK COMMISSION, 8 Plaintiff(s), **ORDER** 9 v. [Docket No. 38] 10 DANIEL SHAK, 11 Defendant(s). 12 Pending before the Court is a renewed unopposed motion to extend the rebuttal expert deadline by seven days. Docket No. 38. The renewed motion again does not comply with Local Rule 26-3, which requires, inter alia, specific statements as to the discovery completed to date and the discovery remaining. Local Rule 26-3(a), (b). The renewed motion also continues to fail to 15 16 explain why it is that the current deadline cannot be met despite the reasonable diligence of the 17 parties, see Local Rule 26-3(c); see also Williams v. James River Grp. Inc., F. Supp. 3d 18 2022 WL 4181415, at \*3 (D. Nev. Sept. 13, 2022), but rather simply asks for more time because 19 an extension was provided for the initial expert reports, Docket No. 38 at 2. 20 Notwithstanding the above, the Court provided a "one-time courtesy" to the defense when 21 they similarly failed to comply with Local Rule 26-3. See Docket No. 35 at 2-3 (emphasis in original). As a one-time courtesy to Plaintiff, the Court will also allow the extension in this 23 The unopposed motion is **GRANTED** and the deadline for rebuttal experts is instance. **EXTENDED** to June 23, 2023. All other deadlines remain unchanged. 24

As articulated by the Ninth Circuit, "we expect an attorney practicing law in federal court to become familiar with and follow rules applicable to practice in this court" because "[t]he

25

26

27

Counsel telephoned chambers after the earlier minute order issued. Counsel must refrain moving forward from contacting chambers *ex parte*. *See, e.g.*, Local Rule IA 7-2(a), (b).

cogs of the wheel of justice move much more smoothly when attorneys who practice in this court follow the rules of practice and procedure we have carefully developed and adopted." Dela Rosa 3 v. Scottsdale Mem. Health Sys., Inc., 136 F.3d 1241, 1244 (9th Cir. 1998). This Court has now issued multiple orders as to the need to understand and comply with the basic rules governing practice in this District. See also Docket Nos. 35, 37. The Court expects strict compliance with all governing rules moving forward. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: June 2, 2023 Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge